

**In the Supreme Court of
The State of Florida**

Case No. SC22-122

**In Re: Report and Recommendations
of the Workgroup on Improved
Resolution of Civil Cases**

**MOTION OF PAUL R. REGENSDORF FOR ENLARGEMENT OF
TIME TO FILE COMMENT AND REQUEST FOR ORAL
ARGUMENT, AND MOTION TO ACCEPT THOSE FILINGS OF
PAUL R. REGENSDORF FILED ON JUNE 2, 2022, AS TIMELY
FILED**

Paul R. Regensdorf files this Motion for Enlargement of Time (out of time) to File Comment and Request for Oral Argument, and Motion to Accept Those Filings of Paul R. Regensdorf filed on June 2, 2022, As Timely Filed, and would show the Court as follows:

1. The undersigned has been traveling in Portugal since May 30, 2022, and has always intended to file a Comment in this cause because the subject matter of this Workgroup proposal, or the subject matterss of the proposal, are of great interest to the undersigned.

2. Before leaving for Europe, the undersigned believed that the filing deadline for all comments was June 2, 2022, and intended to meet, and did meet, that deadline despite being overseas. I did not confirm that date with the court file in this matter and did not have any of the Court orders extending the times for earlier filings because I was not a “party” to this Rules Case.
3. I did, however, have various discussions with members of the Civil Procedure Rules Committee about the CPRC Comment, and from those discussions [principally on substance] I concluded, albeit erroneously, that the filing deadline was June 2.
4. I was obviously wrong, and apologize to the Court for this oversight.
5. It is believed that the undersigned’s non-party status, lack of any previous copies of the Court orders extending time, the discussions before leaving the United States with others in a position to know to confirm the due date for my filings, the prompt filing consistent with the erroneous due date, and this

prompt attempt to rectify the error constitute sufficient good cause to warrant the granting of these motions.

6. There is no “opposing” party to seek agreement from in this Rules Case, and more importantly, there is no possibility of any prejudice to any commenting party or to the Court. No responses are regularly allowed and therefore the filing of this motion within hours of learning of the above mistake will result in no measurable prejudice to the Court or to any commenter. Instead, in a case in which the Court has every reason to want to hear from all interested parties, there is no public policy served by not granting the above motions, enlarging the time for filing the Comment and Request for Oral Argument .by the undersigned
7. For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully urged that this Court grant the above two motions and enlarge the time for filing the undersigned’s documents in this case and treating those filed on June 2, 2022, as timely filed.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Paul R. Regensdorf
Paul R. Regensdorf
Attorney at Law PLLC
Fla. Bar Number 152395
3494 SW Forest Hills Court
Palm City, FL, 34990
954-562-9598
paul.regensdorf@pr-r-law.com
paul.regensdorf@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day, the 3rd day of June 2022, filed a copy of the attached Motion for Enlargement and Motion to Accept filed documents as timely filed with the Florida Portal and directed that the Portal serve all parties and counsel to this rules case.

/s/ Paul R. Regensdorf