

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

STEVEN YOUNKIN,

Petitioner,

Case No. SC19-385

L.T. Case No. 5D18-3548

v.

NATHAN BLACKWELDER,

Respondent.

_____ /

**CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND FLORIDA JUSTICE REFORM INSTITUTE’S MOTION FOR LEAVE
TO APPEAR AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

Pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.370, the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America (the “Chamber”) and the Florida Justice Reform Institute (the “Institute”) move for leave to appear as amici curiae in support of the Petitioner in this case. As grounds for this motion, the Chamber and the Institute state:

1. **Interest of Amici Curiae:** The Chamber is the world’s largest business federation. It represents 300,000 direct members and indirectly represents the interests of more than 3 million companies and professional organizations of every size, in every industry sector, and from every region of the country. An important function of the Chamber is to represent the interests of its members in matters before Congress, the Executive Branch, and the courts. To that end, the

RECEIVED, 07/17/2019 01:17:29 PM, Clerk, Supreme Court

Chamber regularly files amicus briefs in cases that raise issues of concern to the nation's business community.

2. The Institute is Florida's leading organization of concerned citizens, business owners, business leaders, doctors, and lawyers who seek the adoption of fair legal practices to promote predictability and personal responsibility in the civil justice system. Since its founding, the Institute has advocated practices that build faith in Florida's court system. It represents a broad range of participants in the business community who share a substantial interest in a balanced litigation environment that treats plaintiffs and defendants evenhandedly.

3. **Issue Amicus Curiae Will Address & How Amicus Curiae Can Assist the Court:** Many of the Chamber's and the Institute's members are frequently named defendants in personal injury lawsuits brought by injured plaintiffs. With increasing frequency, the claims against them for medical damages are grossly and unreasonably inflated. In large part, these inflated damages are the result of preexisting referral relationships between personal injury law firms and certain treating physicians and clinics.

4. In *Worley v. Central Florida Young Men's Christian Association*, 228 So. 3d 18 (Fla. 2017), this Court ruled that such referral relationships are protected by attorney-client privilege and are therefore not discoverable. Many district courts have noted that *Worley* has resulted in the disparate treatment of plaintiffs and

defendants in the context of attorney-physician referral relationships. Here, Petitioner seeks to end this disparity by extending *Worley* to apply to discovery served upon a defendant seeking information concerning the financial relationship between the defense law firm and a compulsory medical examiner.

5. If granted leave, the Chamber and the Institute will explain the effect the disparate treatment described above has on defendants in personal injury lawsuits and why all attorney referral relationships to specific health care providers must be treated the same.

6. **Certificate of Consultation.** The undersigned have consulted with counsel for Petitioner and Respondent and are authorized to represent that Petitioner and Respondent do not oppose the Chamber's and Institute's appearance as amici curiae in this case.

WHEREFORE, the Chamber and the Institute respectfully request this Court grant this motion for leave to appear as amici curiae in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Amber Stoner Nunnally

Jason Gonzalez

Florida Bar No. 146854

Amber Stoner Nunnally

Florida Bar No. 109281

SHUTTS & BOWEN LLP

215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 804

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 241-1717

jasongonzalez@shutts.com
anunnally@shutts.com

and

William W. Large
Florida Bar No. 981273
**FLORIDA JUSTICE REFORM
INSTITUTE**
210 S. Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(850) 222-0170
william@fljustice.org

*Attorneys for Amici Curiae Chamber of
Commerce of the United States of America
and Florida Justice Reform Institute*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 17th day of July, 2019, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by e-mail to all parties listed below.

Kansas R. Gooden
Geneva R. Fountain
Boyd & Jenerette, P.A.
kgooden@boydjen.com
gfountain@boydjen.com

Counsel for Petitioner

George H. Anderson, III
Dan Newlin & Partners
dutch.anderson@newlinlaw.com

Mark A. Nation
Paul W. Pritchard
The Nation Law Firm
mnation@nationlaw.com
ppritchard@nationlaw.com

Counsel for Respondent

Elaine D. Walter
Boyd Richards Parker & Colonnelli
ewalter@boydlawgroup.com

*Counsel for Amicus Curiae Florida
Defense Lawyers Association*

Patrick A. Brennan
HD Law Partners, P.A.
brennan@hdlawpartners.com

John Hamilton
Law Office of John Hamilton of Tampa
jhamlawyer@gmail.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae John Shim,
M.D. and Michael Foley, M.D.*

/s/ Amber Stoner Nunnally _____
Attorney