

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA THIRD DISTRICTSTATE OF FLORIDA,
*Appellant,*Case No. 3D15-2876
(Consolidated with No. 3D15-2877)

v.

DEREK LANG SHINE JR.,
*Appellee.***NOTICE TO INVOKE DISCRETIONARY
JURISDICTION**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.120 that Derek Shine, the Appellee/Petitioner, invokes the discretionary jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Florida to review the decision of this court rendered April 30, 2018. The basis for jurisdiction is as follows:

The decision expressly and directly conflicts with decisions of other district courts of appeal or the supreme court on the same question of law. *See* Fla. R. App. P. 9.030(a)(2)(A)(iv); art. V, § 3(B)(3), Fla. Const.

E-MAIL DESIGNATION

Undersigned counsel hereby designates, pursuant to Rule 2.516, the following e-mail addresses for service of all documents required to be served in this proceeding: appellatedefender@pdmiami.com (Primary E-Mail Address); jdesousa@pdmiami.com (Secondary E-Mail Address).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Undersigned counsel certifies that a true and correct copy of the Notice to Invoke Discretionary Jurisdiction was emailed to the Office of the Attorney General, Criminal Division, One S.E. Third Avenue, Suite 900, Miami, Florida 33131 at

CrimAppMIA@MyFloridaLegal.com, and Assistant Attorney General Jonathan Tanoos at Jonathan.Tanoos@MyFloridaLegal.com on April 30, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

Carlos J. Martinez
Public Defender
Eleventh Judicial Circuit of Florida
1320 NW 14th Street
Miami, Florida 33125
appellatedefender@pdmiami.com
jdesousa@pdmiami.com
(305) 545-1960

/s/ Jeffrey Paul DeSousa
Assistant Public Defender
Fla. Bar No. 110951

APRIL 30, 2018

Third District Court of Appeal

State of Florida

Opinion filed January 24, 2018.

The Opinion is not final until disposition of any further motion for rehearing and/or motion for rehearing en banc. Any previously-filed motion for rehearing en banc is deemed moot.

No. 3D15-2876
Consolidated: 3D15-2877
Lower Tribunal Nos. 14-890-A-K & 14-891-A-K

The State of Florida,
Appellant,

vs.

Derek Lang Shine Jr.,
Appellee.

Appeals from the Circuit Court for Monroe County, Mark H. Jones, Judge.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, and Robert Martinez Biswas and Jonathan Tanoos, Assistant Attorneys General, for appellant.

Carlos J. Martinez, Public Defender, and Harvey J. Sepler and Jeffrey Paul DeSousa, Assistant Public Defenders, for appellee.

Before ROTHENBERG, C.J., and SUAREZ and FERNANDEZ JJ.

SUAREZ, J.

ON MOTION FOR REHEARING

The State of Florida moves for rehearing of this Court's August 23, 2017

opinion. We grant rehearing, withdraw our prior opinion and substitute the following opinion in its stead.

The State of Florida appeals the downward departure sentence imposed upon Defendant Derek Lang Shine on December 22, 2015 in connection with a probation violation.¹ Finding that the trial court failed to provide a valid legal ground for its downward departure, we reverse.

In 2015, Shine was convicted and sentenced to three years of drug offender probation, pursuant to a plea agreement with the State. Later in 2015, Shine violated his probation and the trial court revoked probation and sentenced him to forty (40) months of prison followed by forty (40) months of probation for count one and a concurrent term of forty (40) months prison, followed by a concurrent term of twelve (12) months of probation for count two. The sentence imposed was a downward departure sentence to which the State objected.

¹ The State filed two separate appeals in connection with Defendant's sentences which were imposed simultaneously and which were intended to run concurrently. In case number 15-2876 the State appeals the sentence imposed in connection with lower tribunal case number 2014-CF-890 (i. sale of cocaine within 1000 feet of a convenience business on September 4, 2014 and ii. unlawful use of a two-way communications device). In case number 15-2877 the State appeals the sentences imposed in connection with lower tribunal number 2014-CF-891 (i. sale of cocaine within 1000 feet of a convenience business on September 3, 2014 and ii. unlawful use of a two-way communications device). We hereby consolidate Third District case numbers 15-2876 and 15-2877 under case number 15-2876. We note that because both sentences were imposed at the same time and were intended to be served concurrently, there was no necessity for the filing of two separate cases and we encourage the State to appeal simultaneous sentences as a single case in the future.

The trial court's written sentencing order states that the downward departure was based on the fact that "Defendant has been granted a previous downward departure based on a valid uncoerced plea agreement . . . [and] it would be inappropriate, too harsh and contrary to the principles of graduated sanctions to now sentence the Defendant to 73.65 months imprisonment which is the lowest permissible prison sentence, absent a downward departure."

We conclude that the trial court's reasoning does not amount to a valid legal basis for the downward departure sentence imposed. See § 921.0026 Fla. Stat. (2014); State v. Pita, 54 So. 3d 557 (Fla. 3d DCA 2011); State v. Kasten, 775 So. 2d 992 (Fla. 3d DCA 2000); State v. Nolasco, 542 So. 2d 1052 (Fla. 3d DCA 1989). Consequently, we reverse and remand for resentencing within the sentencing guidelines.

Reversed and remanded.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA

THIRD DISTRICT

APRIL 30, 2018

THE STATE OF FLORIDA,
Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s),
vs.
DEREK LANG SHINE JR.,
Appellee(s)/Respondent(s),

CASE NO.: 3D15-2876, 3D15-2877


L.T. NO.: 14-890, 14-891

Upon consideration, appellee's motion for certification of conflict is hereby denied. ROTHENBERG, C.J., and SUAREZ and FERNANDEZ, JJ., concur.

Appellee's motion for rehearing en banc is denied. ROTHENBERG, C.J., and SUAREZ, LAGOA, SALTER, FERNANDZ, LOGUE, SCALES and LINDSEY, JJ., concur.

LUCK, J., dissents from the denial of rehearing en banc, and would grant the defendant's motion based on conflict between the opinion in this case and State v. Marron, 111 So. 3d 210 (Fla. 3d DCA 2013).


EMAS, J., recused.



cc: Robert Martinez Biswas Harvey J. Sepler Public Defender Appeals
Office Of Attorney General Jeffrey Paul Desousa Jonathan Tanoos

la

LESLIE B. ROTHENBERG
CHIEF JUDGE
RICHARD J. SUAREZ
BARBARA LAGOA
VANCE E. SALTER
KEVIN EMAS
IVAN F. FERNANDEZ
THOMAS LOGUE
EDWIN A. SCALES, III
ROBERT J. LUCK
NORMA LINDSEY
JUDGES



DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
THIRD DISTRICT
2001 S.W. 117 AVENUE
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33175-1716

MARY CAY BLANKS
CLERK
VERONICA ANTONOFF
MARSHAL
DEBBIE MCCURDY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK
MARIA MIHAIC
CHIEF DEPUTY MARSHAL

TELEPHONE (305) 229-3200

May 2, 2018

Re: The State of Florida
v.
Derek Lang Shine Jr.
Appeal No.: 3D15-2876 & 3D15-2877
Trial Court No.: 14-890-A-K & 14-891-A-K
Trial Court Judge: Mark H. Jones

Dear Mr. Tomasino:

Attached is a certified copy of the Notice invoking the discretionary jurisdiction of the Supreme Court pursuant to Rule 9.120, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. Attached also is this Court's opinion or decision relevant to this case.

_____ The filing fee prescribed by Section 25.241(3), Florida Statutes, was received by this Court and is also attached.

_____ The filing fee prescribed by Section 25.241(3), Florida Statutes, was not received by this Court.

 X Petitioner/Appellant has previously been determined insolvent by the circuit court or our court in the underlying case.

_____ Petitioner/Appellant has already filed, and this court has granted, petitioner/appellant's motion to proceed without payment of costs in this case.

No filing fee is required because:

_____ Summary Appeal (Rule 9.141)
_____ Unemployment Appeal Commission
_____ Habeas Corpus
_____ Juvenile Case
_____ Other:

If there are any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact this Office.

Sincerely,

MARY CAY BLANKS
Clerk, Third District Court of Appeal

By: Barbara Kelle