



RECEIVED, 4/8/2014 17:03:43, John A. Tomasino, Clerk, Supreme Court


The following graph depicts the inventory of pending PFBs in this district at the conclusion of the last five fiscal years for each Judge in the district (multicolor bars, red on far right is 2008) and the statewide average for each year is represented by the blue bars immediately to the left of each year.




The following graph depicts the average number of days between PFB filing and the first mediation held thereon for each mediator in the district (purple (07) and red (08)) and the statewide average is represented (blue (07) and green (08)).




The following graph depicts the total volume of trial orders uploaded in this district during 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green).




This graph depicts the average days between PFB filing and trial commencing for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). For these calculations, only the first day of trial is considered. Any days after the first day of trial are included in the average for days between trial and final order.




The following graph depicts the average number of days between trial (commencing) and the final order entry for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). All days between the first day of trial and last day of trial are included in the calculation of days between trial and final order.




The following graph depicts the volume of settlement orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The following graph depicts the average number of days between filing of a settlement motion and entry of a settlement order by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The following graph depicts the volume of stipulation orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



The following graph depicts the volume of “other” (meaning not settlement or stipulation) orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



The following graph depicts the volume of “other” (meaning not trials) hearings recorded as “held” by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



Appendix "11" District ORL (JCC Condry, JCC Sculco, JCC ORL):

District ORL includes the following counties: Orange, Seminole,


District ORL is a three-Judge District that has been supported and managed by two Judges throughout 2007-08, following the transfer of Judge Thurman to District GNS in May 2007. District ORL evidences PFB and "new cases" filing rates above the statewide average in all three divisions. During 2007-08, each ORL division closed a similar volume of PFBs to the volume filed. Each of the three division had 2007-08 year-end pending PFB inventories that are manageable.

The three ORL mediators each had an average days from PFB filing to first mediation that was less than the statewide average (116) and less than the statutory period (130). Notably, each of these mediators' 2007-08 average represented an improvement from 2006-07. Despite significant trial volumes in ORL, each Judge's average time between PFB filing and trial was below the statewide average. Judge Condry's average days from trial to order entry was consistent with the statewide average. Judge Sculco's average was both below the statewide average (37) and below the statutory period (30).


Each of the three ORL divisions approved more than the average volume of "settlement motions," and stipulation orders. The volume of "other" hearings and "other" orders was also significant. Acknowledging that these significant volumes in three divisions were effectively managed by two Judges, the volume of work by the two ORL judges clearly exceeded the statewide averages. Despite these volumes, the average days from "settlement" motion filing to approval was at or below the statewide average.

District ORL anticipates the appointment of a third Judge in 2008-09. It is anticipated that with the addition of this Judge, the workload volumes will be better distributed and the pressure on Judges Condry and Sculco will be decreased.


The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.



The following graph depicts the volume of new cases filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs closed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




114


The following graph depicts the inventory of pending PFBs in this district at the conclusion of the last five fiscal years for each Judge in the district (multicolor bars, red on far right is 2008) and the statewide average for each year is represented by the blue bars immediately to the left of each year.



The following graph depicts the average number of days between PFB filing and the first mediation held thereon for each mediator in the district (purple (07) and red (08)) and the statewide average is represented (blue (07) and green (08)).




The following graph depicts the total volume of trial orders uploaded in this district during 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green).




This graph depicts the average days between PFB filing and trial commencing for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). For these calculations, only the first day of trial is considered. Any days after the first day of trial are included in the average for days between trial and final order.




The following graph depicts the average number of days between trial (commencing) and the final order entry for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). All days between the first day of trial and last day of trial are included in the calculation of days between trial and final order.




The following graph depicts the average number of days between filing of a settlement motion and entry of a settlement order by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The following graph depicts the volume of settlement orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The third Judicial position in ORL was vacant throughout 2007-08. Judges Condry and Sculco have expended significant effort covering that additional workload. If the ORL settlement orders are split equally between these two Judges, the volume would be as follows.




The following graph depicts the volume of stipulation orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The third Judicial position in ORL was vacant throughout 2007-08. Judges Condry and Sculco have expended significant effort covering that additional workload. If the vacant division's stipulation orders are split equally between these two Judges, the volume would be as follows.



The following graph depicts the volume of "other" (meaning not settlement or stipulation) orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The third Judicial position in ORL was vacant throughout 2007-08. Judges Condry and Sculco have expended significant effort covering that additional workload. If the vacant division's "other" (meaning not settlement or stipulation) orders are split equally between these two Judges, the volume would be as follows.




[\(return to Table of Contents\)](#)

2008 OJCC Annual Report

The following graph depicts the volume of “other” (meaning not trials) hearings recorded as “held” by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



The third Judicial position in ORL was vacant throughout 2007-08. Judges Condry and Sculco have expended significant effort covering that additional workload. If the vacant division’s “other” (meaning not settlement or stipulation) hearings are split equally between these two Judges, the volume would be as follows.



Appendix "12" District PMC (JCC Roesch):


District PMC in Panama City includes the following counties: Bay, Calhoun, Gulf, Holmes, Jackson, Liberty, Walton, and Washington. District PMS is one of the largest geographic Districts in the state. While most of the parties will usually travel to the District office, there are occasions when trials are held remotely by Judge Roesch throughout this very large area.

In PMC, the PFB and "new case" filing rates are well below the statewide averages. Judge Roesch closed more PFBs in 2007-08 than were filed. The year-end pending PFB inventory in PMC was at a very manageable level.


Mediator Edward Oramas averaged 72 between PFB filing and the first mediation. This is well below the statewide average (116) and the statutory period (130). District PMC had a below average trial volume in 2007-08. The average days to trial in PMC (114) is among the lowest in the state, and is well within the statutory 210 period. The average time between trial and entry of the trial order was also less than both the statewide average (37) and the statutory 30 days. Judge Roesch volunteered extensively for review of settlement and fee orders in 2007-08 and thereby reduced workload on South Florida Judges. She also traveled to Miami to serve as a visiting Judge in 2007-08.

Despite the significant volume of "settlement" orders entered, the average days between filing and order entry in PMC was among the lowest in the State. The volume of "other" hearings and "other" orders in PMC is well below the statewide average.


The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the volume of new cases filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs closed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the inventory of pending PFBs in this district at the conclusion of the last five fiscal years for each Judge in the district (multicolor bars, red on far right is 2008) and the statewide average for each year is represented by the blue bars immediately to the left of each year.




The following graph depicts the average number of days between PFB filing and the first mediation held thereon for each mediator in the district (purple (07) and red (08)) and the statewide average is represented (blue (07) and green (08)).




The following graph depicts the total volume of trial orders uploaded in this district during 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green).




This graph depicts the average days between PFB filing and trial commencing for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). For these calculations, only the first day of trial is considered. Any days after the first day of trial are included in the average for days between trial and final order.




The following graph depicts the average number of days between trial (commencing) and the final order entry for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). All days between the first day of trial and last day of trial are included in the calculation of days between trial and final order.




The following graph depicts the volume of settlement orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The following graph depicts the average number of days between filing of a settlement motion and entry of a settlement order by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



The following graph depicts the volume of stipulation orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The following graph depicts the volume of "other" (meaning not settlement or stipulation) orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



Roesch, Laura
■ Judge 08 ■ AVG 08

The following graph depicts the volume of "other" (meaning not trials) hearings recorded as "held" by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



Roesch, Laura
■ Judge 08 ■ AVG 08