



RECEIVED, 4/8/2014 16:58:47, John A. Tomasino, Clerk, Supreme Court.

The following graph depicts the average number of days between filing of a settlement motion and entry of a settlement order by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The following graph depicts the volume of stipulation orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




[\(return to Table of Contents\)](#)

The following graph depicts the volume of "other" (meaning not settlement or stipulation) orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



The following graph depicts the volume of "other" (meaning not trials) hearings recorded as "held" by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



[\(return to Table of Contents\)](#)

Appendix "5" District FTM (JCC Spangler, JCC Sturgis):

District FTM includes the following counties: Charlotte, Collier, DeSoto, Lee.

District FTM has been in transition in recent years. In December 2006, two new Judges were appointed to replace the retiring Judge Turnbull. Since that time, a significant volume of continued and otherwise unresolved PFB have been identified by the FTM judges. In recognition of the significant workload in District LKL, both Judges Sturgis and Spangler were asked to act as visiting Judges in 2007. Each also volunteered to provide more limited visiting judge coverage in LKL in 2008.


Per Judge PFB volumes in FTM are lower than statewide average for 2007-08, while the "new case volume is slightly higher. Notably, the workload equity of the random PFB assignment process adopted in 2007 has had a marked affect in FTM. While each FTM division closed fewer PFBs than the statewide average in 2007-08, the closure volume for each was nonetheless greater than the filing volume, which indicates progress. Judge Spangler closed 2007-08 with a manageable volume of pending PFBs. Judge Sturgis' pending inventory likely supports the conclusion that further file review will be productive.

Each of the FTM mediators' average days to first mediation exceeded both the statewide average and the statutory 30 days. Each also evidenced progress from the average in 2006-07, however. The improvements in Judge Spangler's division are illustrated by the significantly higher than average (54) volume of trial orders uploaded by his division (70) in 2007-08. The average days from PFB to trial in each division is above the statutory 210-day period, but likely results from these Judges working through the volume of pending petitions unresolved upon their taking office in December 2006.


Consistent with the higher volume of trials, Judge Spangler's average days between trial and order increased in 2007-08. Judge Sturgis' trial order volume remained less than one order per week in 2007-08, and the average days from trial to order (73) was significantly higher than the statutory 30 days.

Judge Spangler's average days for consideration of a "settlement motion" was consistent with the statewide average, despite the very significant volume of such orders entered in his division.


The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the volume of new cases filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs closed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the inventory of pending PFBs in this district at the conclusion of the last five fiscal years for each Judge in the district (multicolor bars, red on far right is 2008) and the statewide average for each year is represented by the blue bars immediately to the left of each year.




The following graph depicts the average number of days between PFB filing and the first mediation held thereon for each mediator in the district (purple (07) and red (08)) and the statewide average is represented (blue (07) and green (08)).




The following graph depicts the total volume of trial orders uploaded in this district during 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green).




This graph depicts the average days between PFB filing and trial commencing for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). For these calculations, only the first day of trial is considered. Any days after the first day of trial are included in the average for days between trial and final order.



The following graph depicts the average number of days between trial (commencing) and the final order entry for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). All days between the first day of trial and last day of trial are included in the calculation of days between trial and final order.




The following graph depicts the volume of settlement orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




[\(return to Table of Contents\)](#)

2008 OJCC Annual Report


The following graph depicts the average number of days between filing of a settlement motion and entry of a settlement order by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The following graph depicts the volume of stipulation orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



The following graph depicts the volume of "other" (meaning not settlement or stipulation) orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



The following graph depicts the volume of "other" (meaning not trials) hearings recorded as "held" by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



Appendix "6" District GNS (JCC Thurman):


District GNS includes the following counties: Alachua, Columbia, Dixie, Gilchrist, Levy, Marion.

District GNS is one of the few to experience an increase in PFB filing in 2007-08. While the GNS increase is not necessarily significant, it is notable that PFB filing in that district has consistently been above the statewide average in recent years. The volume of "new cases" filed in GNS in 2007-08 was also above the statewide average, and increased in 2007-08. Judge Thurman closed a considerable (17,805) volume of pending petitions after transferring to GNS during 2006-07 (after Judge Ohlman's appointment to the Circuit bench). In 2007-08, district GNS closed fewer PFBs than were filed, which indicates a pending PFB inventory growth. The year-end pending PFB inventory (1,539) is at a manageable level.


Stuart Suskin averaged 90 days between PFB filing and first mediation in 2007-08. This is significant in that it is less than the statewide average (116), less than the statutory period (130) and represents a considerable timeliness improvement from 2006-07 (147). Judge Thurman's average from PFB filing to final hearing (376) was slightly lower than the statewide average (379) while the time from trial to final order entry (55) was significantly higher than the average (37) and the statutory period (30).

The volume of "settlement orders" in district GNS in 2007-08 is slightly above the statewide average, and the average days (8) for entry of those orders is slightly below the statewide average.


The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the volume of new cases filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs closed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the inventory of pending PFBs in this district at the conclusion of the last five fiscal years for each Judge in the district (multicolor bars, red on far right is 2008) and the statewide average for each year is represented by the blue bars immediately to the left of each year.




The following graph depicts the average number of days between PFB filing and the first mediation held thereon for each mediator in the district (purple (07) and red (08)) and the statewide average is represented (blue (07) and green (08)).




The following graph depicts the total volume of trial orders uploaded in this district during 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green).




This graph depicts the average days between PFB filing and trial commencing for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). For these calculations, only the first day of trial is considered. Any days after the first day of trial are included in the average for days between trial and final order.




The following graph depicts the average number of days between trial (commencing) and the final order entry for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). All days between the first day of trial and last day of trial are included in the calculation of days between trial and final order.




The following graph depicts the volume of settlement orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The following graph depicts the average number of days between filing of a settlement motion and entry of a settlement order by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).




The following graph depicts the volume of stipulation orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



The following graph depicts the volume of “other” (meaning not settlement or stipulation) orders entered by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



The following graph depicts the volume of “other” (meaning not trials) hearings recorded as “held” by each Judge in the district (red) and the statewide average (green).



Appendix "7" District JAX (JCC Dane and JCC Harris):


District JAX includes the following counties: Baker, Bradford, Clay, Duval, Nassau, Putnam, St. Johns, and Union.

The volume of PFBs filed in JAX is below the statewide average. Conversely, the volume of "new cases" in JAX remains above the statewide average in 2007-08. JAX closed a significant volume of PFBs in 2006-07. While that volume decreased in 2007-08, JAX nonetheless closed more PFBs in 2007-08 than were filed, which is indicative of continued effort to address pending PFB inventory. The year-end pending inventory in each JAX division is well within the manageable range.


The JAX volumes of stipulation orders, "other hearings" and "other orders" were all below the statewide averages. JAX trial volumes remain among the lowest in Florida. The two JAX divisions combined entered 56 trial orders in 2007-08, which is only slightly higher than the "per judge" average (54) statewide in 2007-08. Despite these low trial volumes, the average time to trial in JAX is significantly above the 210-day statutory period. Judge Dane's 2007-08 average (603 days) approached three times that period. The time from trial to final order in JAX is also significantly higher than the statewide average and the statutory period (30 days). Judge Harris' average (137 days) was the highest in the state in 2007-08. Mediator Day's average days from PFB filing to mediation (114) was below both the statewide average and the 130 day statutory period.

Both JAX divisions processed less than the average volume of settlement motions in 2007-08. Judge Dane's average processing time for those motions was below average at 6 days. Judge Harris' average was the highest in Florida at 23 days.


The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the volume of new cases filed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the volume of PFBs closed in this district during 2002-03 (black), 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district. Viewed in monochrome, the columns are in that order left to right.




The following graph depicts the inventory of pending PFBs in this district at the conclusion of the last five fiscal years for each Judge in the district (multicolor bars, red on far right is 2008) and the statewide average for each year is represented by the blue bars immediately to the left of each year.



The following graph depicts the average number of days between PFB filing and the first mediation held thereon for each mediator in the district (purple (07) and red (08)) and the statewide average is represented (blue (07) and green (08)).



The following graph depicts the total volume of trial orders uploaded in this district during 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) for each Judge in the district and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green).



This graph depicts the average days between PFB filing and trial commencing for each Judge in 2006-07 (blue) and 2007-08 (red) and the statewide average for 2007-08 (rust) and 2007-08 (green). For these calculations, only the first day of trial is considered. Any days after the first day of trial are included in the average for days between trial and final order.

