

FLORIDA SUPREME COURT

NOTICE OF CORRECTION

DATE: July 7, 2016

CASE OF: BRADLEY WESTPHAL V. CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, ETC.,
ET AL. & CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, ETC. V. BRADLEY WESTPHAL

DOCKET NOS.: SC13-1930 & **OPINION FILED:** June 9, 2016
SC13-1976

ATTENTION: ALL PUBLISHERS

**THE FOLLOWING CORRECTIONS HAVE BEEN MADE IN THE ABOVE
OPINION:**

Majority:

- Page 13, line 18: Changed § 440.15(1), Fla. Stat. (1968) to § 440.15(1), Fla. Stat. (1967)
- Page 14, line 5: Changed § 440.15(2), Fla. Stat. (1968) to § 440.15(2), Fla. Stat. (1967)
- Page 27, lines 6-7: Changed § 440.15(2), Fla. Stat. (1968) to § 440.15(2), Fla. Stat. (1967)

Concurring in Result:

- Page 35, lines 5 and 9: Changed “360” to “260”

Dissenting:

- Page 42, line 2: Changed “District Court” to “district court”
- Page 42, line 7: Changed “District Court’s” to “district court’s”
- Page 42, line 12: Removed “(emphasis added)”
- Page 43, line 1: Added “(Emphasis added.)”
- Page 44, line 3: Changed “workers” to “worker’s”

- Page 44, footnote line 1: Added a comma following “competent”
- Page 44, footnote line 5: Changed “Westphal v. City of St. Petersburg/City of St. Petersburg Risk Management, 122 So. 3d 440, 450 (Fla. 1st DCA 2013)” to “Westphal, 122 So. 3d at 450”
- Page 44, footnote line 7: Changed “Westfall” to “Westphal”

SIGNED: OPINION CLERK

The corrected hard copy will follow by mail.