## Supreme Court of Florida

Case No. SC17-742
DCA 1D17-0515
L.T. 2015-CA-4365

Walter P. Jenkins, a natural living man

Petitioner

DeVaughn Slone, a natural living man

NOTICE OF

Petitioner

SUPPLEMENTAL

v.

AUTHORITY

Alachua County, Florida

Alachua County, Florida

Petitioner(s) Walter P Jenkins and DeVaughn Slone submit as supplemental authority the decisions FRCP, and Statutes of the State of Florida and other state court as well as Supreme Court of the United States of America a copy of which is attached to this notice. The supplemental authority is pertinent to the issue on appeal identified as SC17-742 (Pro se rights and status of petitioner(s)) and discussed on pages 1-15 of the Jurisdictional Brief for SC17-742

I hereby certify that the fonts herein, Times New Roman 14 point, comply with Rule 9.210(a)(2) Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure and this form with FRAP 9.900(j) Without prejudice UCC1-308, UCC1-103

Walter Pdentains

Walter P. Jenkins, a natural living man,

Petitioner

DeVaughn Slone, a natural living man

Petitioner

# In the Supreme Court of Florida

Walter P. Jenkins, a natural living man	)				
Petitioner	)				
DeVaughn Slone, a natural living man	)	Case No. SC17-742			
Petitioner	)	DCA 1D17-0515			
V.	)	L.T. 2015-CA-4365			
John Power, tax collector	)				
Alachua County, Florida	)				
	1				
NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES					
Petitioners Walter P. Jenkins and DeVar	ghn Slone	hereby submit supplemental			
authorities pertinent to the issues on app					
of the jurisdictional brief.					
TABLE OF CONTENTS					
TABLE OF CITATIONS		1			
CONTEXT AND CASE LAW		6			
TABLE OF	F CITATIO	NS			
13th Amendment. Constitution for the un	nited states	of America12			
16th Amendment [ ft mote 6 ]		16			
"27 CFR 72.11		18			
28 USC 3002 A		9, 18			

28 USC §3002(15(A)(B)(C), 22 USCA 286(e))9
Acts of the Forty-first Congress," Section 34, Session III, chapters 61 and 62)14
The Act of 187114
Argersinger v. Hamlin, Sheriff 407 U.S. 4256
Bank of the United States v. Planters Bank of Georgia, 5 L.Ed. (Wheat) 244; U.S.
v. Butt, 309 U.S. 242).
Berry v McCourt 204 N.E. 2 <sup>nd</sup> 235 (1965)
Bothke v Terry, 713 F2d 1404
Bond vs. UNITED STATES, 529 US 334 ( 2000)
Burnett v Smith, 240 S.W. 1007 (1922)
Brotherhood of Trainmen v. Virginia ex rel. Virginia State Bar (377 U.S. 1);6
Clearfield Doctrine
Clew v. Jamison, 182 U.S. 461, 21 S. Ct 645
Congressman Louis McFadden speech" (indictment of the Secretary of the
Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board of Governor's for treason by the chairman
of the House Banking and Currency committee in 193420
Crocker v. MacCloy, 649, US Sup 39 at 270

CROCKER V MALLEY 264 US 144	2
Elliot v Freeman, 220 U. S. 178	3
Executive Order 12803;	22
Executive Order 13132:	22
Executive Order 6102": Government's confiscation of gold and wealth un	ıder
threat of 10 years in prison for failure to comply. As the Order specifies U	.S.
"persons"	20
	20
Federal Reserve Act in 1913 [ft note 5]	16
Fitch v United Royalty Co. 143 Kan 486 55 P2d 409	2
Florida Statute 736.0815	4
Florida Statute 736.0816	4,5
Frankenhauser v. Rizzo, 59 F.R.D. 339 (E.D. Pa. 1973)	6
FRCP 1.210 (a)	5
FRC v. GE 281 US 464, Keller v. PE 261 US 428, 1 Stat. 138-178)	
Gideon v. Wainwright 372 U.S. 335;	6
Grace Commission Report	18, 21
HJR 192	21

Johnson v. Avery 89 S. Ct. 747 (1969)6
Independent Treasury Act – 1920) [ ft note 7]
Independent Treasury Act, 41 Stat. at L. 631, CHAP. 21420
IRS Publication 6209, Articles of Association October 20, 1774.)14
James Trafficant (D-OH) speech on floor of Congress of March, 1993 exposing the
bankruptcy21
Keller v P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v G.E., 281, U.S., 464
Lewis v. United States 680.
K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch1 (CTP. Wests 1965 Ed.)8
NAACP v. Button (371 U.S. 415);
Owen v City, 445 U. S. 662;
Public Law 95-79, Title VIII, Sec. 808, July 30, 1977, 91 Stat. 334. In U.S.
Statutes-at-Large, Vol. 91, page 334,
Public Law 97-375, title II, Sec. 203(a)(1), Dec. 21, 1982, 96 Stat. 1882. In U.S.
Statutes-at-Large, Vol. 96, page 1882, you will find Public Law 97-37522
Report of the Special Committee on the Termination of the National Emergency,
Respublica v. Sweers 1 Dallas 43, Treaty of Commerce 8 Stat 116,14
Senate Report 93-549, War and Emergency Powers Acts, November 19, 197320

Section 35 of the Judiciary Act of 1789, 1 Stat. 73, 92	7
Senate Report 93-549" (The United States has been under dictatorial control sin	ıce
March 9, 1933	.20
Swartz v Sher, 344 Mass 636 184 NE 2d 51	1
The Society for Propagating the Gospel, &c. V. New Haven 8 Wheat 464,	14
Thompson v Smith 154 S.E. 579, 583;	8
Title 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1983	5
Trading with the Enemy act	16
Treaty of Peace 8 Stat 80,	1
<u>U.S Constitution</u> , Article 1 section 10 1787	3
Uniform Trust Code,(adopted by the State of Florida)	4
United Mineworkers of America v. Gibbs (383 U.S. 715);	6
U.S. v. Butt, 309 U.S. 242).	9
U.S. v. Spelar, 338 U.S. 217 at 222."	10
U.S. V. Strang, 254 US 491, Lewis v. US, 680 F.2d, 1239)	14
UCC1-10311	, 13
UCC1-308,11	1, 13
Wheeling Steel Corp. V Fox 298 U.S. 193 80 L. Ed 1143, 56 S. Ct. 773	12

#### CONTEXT AND CASELAW

As regards the issue of whether or not a trustee as a party to a "contract" without state jurisdiction, has the same right to appear pro se on his own behalf as any party to a contract, or whether the court can fabricate a "corporation" without evidence or fact, in order to bring the trust into state jurisdiction and then claim that the trustee is "an officer or employee" of that imagined corporation so it can order the trustee to hire an attorney to defend the fabricated corporation or dismiss the case if he does not comply, the following case law, Florida rules of Civil Procedure and statutes apply: [emphasis added]

Business trusts are not entities apart from the trustees. Swartz v Sher, 344 Mass 636 184 NE 2d 51.

"Such a Trust has no legal existence apart from it's trustees,...." Fitch v United Royalty Co. 143 Kan 486 55 P2d 409.

"A Pure Trust drives no power from , benefit, or privilege from any statute". CROCKER V MALLEY 264 US 144

"A pure Trust is established <u>by contract</u>, and any law or procedure in it's operation, <u>denying or obstructing contract rights</u>, <u>impairs contract obligation and is therefore violative of the U.S. Constitution."</u> Burnett v Smith, 240 S.W. 1007 (1922)

"No state shall....pass any bill or law impairing the law of contracts...U.S

Constitution, Article 1 section 10 1787

A pure trust is a contractual relationship in trust form. Berry v McCourt 204

N.E. 2<sup>nd</sup> 235 (1965)

"A Trust organization created under the U.S. constitutional right of contract cannot be abridged. The agreement. when executed, creates a Federal organization not under the laws passed by any of the several (State) legislatures." Crocker v. MacCloy, 649, US Sup 39 at 270

A Pure Contract Trust is not subject to legislative control. The U. S. Supreme Court holds that Trust relationship comes under the realm of equity, based upon the common law, and is not subject to legislative restrictions as are corporations and other organizations created by legislative authority. Elliot v Freeman, 220 U. S. 178

"The Court will support the trustees in carrying out the terms of their trust contract and agreement". Clew v. Jamison, 182 U.S. 461, 21 S. Ct 645.

The rights of the Trustee to appear on their own behalf is also reflected in the State of Florida Statutes and Fla R Civ P. The Florida Statutes supporting pro se trustee rights include Florida Statutes, FL STATUTE 736.0815 (1) 1.; 736.0816 (23). The national Uniform Trust Code, (adopted by the State of Florida)., Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, 1.210 Parties of interest.

Florida incorporated this language from the UTC. It is expressed at Florida Statute;

FL STATUTE 736.0815 General powers of trustee.—

- (1) <u>A trustee, without authorization by the court,</u> may, except as limited or restricted by this code, exercise:
  - (a) Powers conferred by the terms of the trust.

- (b) Except as limited by the terms of the trust:
- 1. All powers over the trust property that an unmarried competent owner has over individually owned property.

The intent of this language is clear. An unmarried competent owner can go to court on his own without an attorney, pro se, in propria persona. A trustee has the same right as expressed in this law. To deny that is to say that an unmarried competent owner has to hire an attorney. That would violate his rights to appear in propia persona.

**FS 736.0816** Specific powers of trustee.—Except as limited or restricted by this code, a trustee may:

(23) Prosecute or defend, including appeals, an action, claim, or judicial proceeding in any jurisdiction to protect trust property or the trustee in the performance of the trustee's duties.

FRCP 1.210 (a), specifically states that a <u>Trustee may sue in his own name</u>.

RULE 1.210. PARTIES (a) Parties Generally. Every action may be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest, but a personal representative, administrator, guardian, trustee of an express trust, a party with whom or in whose name a contract has been made for the benefit of another, or a party expressly authorized by statute may sue in that person's own name without joining the party for whose benefit the action is brought.

As regards the issue of the court denying Plaintiff Slone the right to appear pro se the following apply: [emphasis added] Title 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1983, violation of rights under color of law.

"Each citizen acts as a private attorney general who 'takes on the mantel of sovereign'," Wood v. Breier, 54 F.R.D. 7, 10-11 (E.D. Wis. 1972).; Frankenhauser v. Rizzo, 59 F.R.D. 339 (E.D. Pa. 1973).

Litigants may be assisted <u>by unlicensed layman</u> during judicial proceedings.

Brotherhood of Trainmen v. Virginia ex rel. Virginia State Bar (377 U.S. 1);

Gideon v. Wainwright 372 U.S. 335; Argersinger v. Hamlin, Sheriff 407 U.S. 425...

Members of groups who are competent non lawyers can assist other members of the group achieve the goals of the group in court without being charged with "Unauthorized practice of law." NAACP v. Button (371 U.S. 415); United Mineworkers of America v. Gibbs (383 U.S. 715); and Johnson v. Avery 89 S. Ct. 747 (1969).

Petitioners are members of the church "group" for which the LLC trust was formed.

Section 35 of the Judiciary Act of 1789, 1 Stat. 73, 92, enacted by the First Congress and signed by President Washington one day before the Sixth Amendment was proposed, provided that... "in all the courts of the United States, the parties may plead and manage their own causes personally or by the assistance of counsel."

"Counsel" meant whomever you chose. There were no BAR attorneys at that time. The Florida BAR did not exist in Florida until 1949. It is a private dues member association, without any lawful authority.

The courts in Florida and elsewhere hold themselves out to the public as government Constitutional courts, Article III courts. The petitioners thought they were entering pleas into such courts. After researching the following issues of law the petitioners have serious concerns as to the nature of these courts and what jurisdiction of law they operate in and would like the court to disclose the true nature of the Circuit Courts, the District Courts and the Supreme Court of Florida.

## [emphasis added]

There are no Judicial courts in America and there has not been since 1789. Judges do not enforce Statutes and Codes. Executive Administrators enforce Statutes and Codes. (FRC v. GE 281 US 464, Keller v. PE 261 US 428, 1 Stat. 138-178)

"When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially" and thus are not protected by, qualified or limited immunity, Owen v City, 445 U. S. 662; Bothke v Terry, 713 F2d 1404.

"When acting to enforce a statute and it's subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of a municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administrating or enforcing statutes don not act judicially, but merely ministerially .... but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency – but only in a ministerial and not a discretionary capacity". Thompson v Smith 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v G.E., 281, U.S> 464

"Judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise) act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency".... K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch1 (CTP. Wests 1965 Ed.)

It would appear from these cites, that the "ministerial clerks" which were administering the lower tribunal court had no "Judicial authority" to render any discretionary decision, much less determine, without proof, that that the trustee was "an officer or employee" of a (nonexistent) "corporation".

As to the question of status of the courts raised above, there is a question within that question as to the status of the entities in which these courts exist, STATE OF FLORIDA, the UNITED STATES, ALACHUA COUNTY, and all municipal corporations posing as government and holding themselves out to have authorities such corporations do not possess. See;

The government by becoming a corporator, (See 28 USC §3002(15(A)(B)(C), 22 USCA 286(e)) lays down its sovereignty and takes on that of a private citizen. It can exercise no power which is not derived from the corporate charter. (See: The Bank of the United States v. Planters Bank of Georgia, 5 L.Ed. (Wheat) 244; U.S. v. Butt, 309 U.S. 242).]

28 USC 3002 "United States" means- (A) a Federal corporation;

In addition, because all corporate-government entities use a private scrip (the FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE) to do business they have descended to the level of mere private corporations. For purposes of suit, such corporations are regarded as entities entirely separate from government. As such, government then becomes bound by the rules and laws that govern private corporations which means that if they intend to compel an individual to some specific performance based upon its corporate statutes or corporation rules, then the government, like any private corporation, must be the holder-in-due-course of a contract or other commercial agreement between it and the one upon who demands for specific performance are

made. And further, the government must be willing to enter the contract or commercial agreement into evidence before trying to get the court to enforce its demands, called statutes. See: The Clearfield Doctrine.

U.S. v. Spelar, 338 U.S. 217 at 222." (U.S. regulations apply only within the U.S. territories and the District of Columbia. "There is a canon of legislative construction which teaches Congress that, unless a contrary intent appears [legislation] is meant to apply only within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States."

Bond vs. UNITED STATES, 529 US 334 ( 2000), The Supreme Court held that the American People are in fact Sovereign and not the States or the Government. The court went on to define that local, state and federal law enforcement officers were committing unlawful actions against the Sovereign People by the enforcement of the laws and are personally liable for their actions.

There are two distinct classes of citizen. One is the American National, a Sovereign in the American Republic, created by the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution for the united States of America, and the Northwest Ordinance, the Organic laws of the united States. This is what the Plaintiff(s) are and they have reserved all their rights without prejudice, UCC1-308, UCC1-103. The other class is a status; of a (corporate) "U.S. citizen". It is established through fraud by concealment at birth by "registering birth certificates" as commodities to be traded on world markets. Or it can be volunteered into by signing "contracts" which have been referred to as "adhesion contracts", driver license, car registration, voter registration, passports, tax returns and other government forms which ask under penalty of perjury if one is a "U.S. citizen. Most people do not know the true import of admitting to be a "U.S. citizen" It is the same as declaring you are a

slave without any rights. Thus you become a "ward of the court" For those in doubt the law of the corporate UNITED STATES makes it very plain; [emphasis added]

"Therefore, the U.S. citizens residing in one of the states of the union, are classified as property and franchises of the federal government as an "individual entity" Wheeling Steel Corp. V Fox 298 U.S. 193 80 L. Ed 1143, 56 S. Ct. 773 [read it several times, commit it to memory]

Being chattel property is being a slave. The law can prevent one from forcing you into slavery, but it can not prevent you from "contracting voluntarily to be one".

Slavery, <u>or involuntary servitude</u> is a violation of the 13<sup>th</sup> amendment to the Constitution for the united States of America.

"Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction." 13th Amendment. Constitution for the united states of America.

The 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment only protects from "<u>involuntary servitude</u>". One can still "volunteer", so the corporate UNITED STATES (corporation) sets up every opportunity to create a "presumption" of you volunteering to be a "U.S. citizen" Remember that, when you sign any government (or more accurately, corporate form) form. Especially tax returns. The truth is that these "contracts" do not operate with "full disclosure". In fact they operate through fraud by concealment. That alone is grounds to vitiate the contract for non-disclosure. But you must rebut the presumption or it will stand until you do. The UCC (uniform commercial code) adopted by all states, governs all contracts and therein lies a remedy. If you know

enough to reserve your rights, and express them, you do not waive them. If you say nothing, you waive them, silence is acquiescence. The presumption that you are a "U.S. citizen" stands until rebutted. By invoking the UCC law remedy "without prejudice" UCC1-308 and UCC1-103, you reserve your rights. You rebut the presumption. You can make a reservation of rights using "without prejudice" UCC1-308, UCC1-103 or just state or sign documents by including "without prejudice" with your signature.

The Plaintiff(s) include the following citations and facts in support of the research that has a bearing on the standing of the courts in Florida, the status of the Plaintiff(s) in regard to the courts, and to the corporate government. The following are footnoted where appropriate, followed by footnote index.

The truth is not what you believe. It is what you do not know, but think you do.

"We'll know our disinformation campaign is complete, when absolutely everything the American people believe is false".

Wm Casey, Director of the CIA (1981)

Did the UNITED STATES really win the Revolutionary War and establish independence from Britain? Are we now a Constitutional republic or a private corporate system, owned by the Crown.?

America is a British Colony. (THE UNITED STATES IS A CORPORATION, NOT A LAND MASS AND IT EXISTED BEFORE THE REVOLUTIONARY WAR AND THE BRITISH TROOPS DID NOT LEAVE UNTIL 1796.)
Respublica v. Sweers 1 Dallas 43, Treaty of Commerce 8 Stat 116, The Society for Propagating the Gospel, &c. V. New Haven 8 Wheat 464, Treaty of Peace 8 Stat 80, IRS Publication 6209, Articles of Association October 20, 1774.)

With no constitutional authority to do so, Congress created a separate form of government for the District of Columbia, a ten mile square parcel of land (see, Acts of the Forty-first Congress," Section 34, Session III, chapters 61 and 62)

The Act of 1871 formed a corporation called the District of Columbia, then THE UNITED STATES. The corporation, OWNED by foreign interests, [the Crown] moved in and shoved the original Constitution into a dustbin. With the Act of 1871, the organic Constitution was defaced — in effect vandalized and sabotaged — when the title was capitalized and the word "for" was changed to "of" in the title. THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA is the constitution of the incorporated UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. The country was changed, by stealth, from a Constitutional Republic to a corporation. [4]

The FCC, CIA, FBI, NASA and all of the other alphabet gangs were never part of the (American) United States government. Even though the "US Government" held shares of stock in the various Agencies. (U.S. V. Strang, 254 US 491, Lewis v. US, 680 F.2d, 1239)

USA INC granted 'corporations' the rights of 'persons', in a slurry of lawsuits by corporations shortly after the end of the Civil War.

- USA INC turned control of credit and currency over to the same international bankers by passing the Federal Reserve Act in 1913 [5] and initiated a taxation scheme on the people via the 16th Amendment [6]
- USA INC turned the US Treasury Department (including all its assets) over to the private Federal Reserve in 1920 (Independent Treasury Act 1920) [7]

The Bankruptcy of USA INC – 1930' s

• USA INC, after being pillaged and bankrupted by the Federal Reserve banking

cartel [8], turned over the entire country – including the people – as collateral on its corporate debt in 1933 and bound the individual states to 'its' bankruptcy obligations. [9]

- USA INC gave its CEO (the President) the authority to call a national emergency (a banking 'holiday') and establish Executive Branch 'agencies' to manage the state of emergency. The "national emergency" has never been removed and is still in effect. [10] Hence we have far reaching unconstitutional "Executive Orders".
- USA INC declared the American people "enemies of the state" to force them to surrender their gold [11] and use Federal Reserve debt 'notes' as currency [12]
- USA INC issued Birth Certificates and Social Security Numbers whereby making the people registered 'collateral' for the payment of the debt owed to the same banking cartel
- USA INC started requiring the American people as enemies to get licenses to do business see Trading with the enemy act
- USA INC gradually altered the legal system and implemented corporate commercial Admiralty law (aka statutory law) throughout all of the states, counties and municipalities. [13] Statutes are for THEIR corporations and agencies. They only apply to us if we agree to contract with them. [14]

Then in the 70's – 80's USA INC (posing as a legitimate government) removed the gold standard from the dollar, tricked the states into sending their tax revenues to the District of Criminals ('revenue sharing') and even authorized the Department of Defense to wage silent war on the general population [15] – which it is now doing.

**In 1992** the CEO of USA INC, George Bush, signed Executive Order 12803 ordering the corporate states, counties and municipalities to sell off their public's assets. [16]

In 1999 the CEO of USA INC, Bill Clinton, signed Executive Order 13132 creating a new form of government called "FEDERALISM". His order described when and how corporate federal agency regulations can preempt laws passed by state legislators. He also redefined and restricted the freedom of the American people: Sec 2 (d) "The people of the States are free, subject only to restrictions in the Constitution itself or in constitutionally authorized Acts of Congress, to define the moral, political, and legal character of their lives." [17]

In 2001 USA INC passed the Patriot Act, which permits unlimited spying on the American population and in 2011 Obama, the CEO of USA INC, signed the National Defense Authorization Act, permitting the arrest, and indefinite detention of ANYONE on US soil for merely displeasing the office of the President.

- Why aren't the American people told that they are still classified as "enemies of the state" by the so-called federal government? [18]
- Why haven't folks heard about the USA INC bankruptcy of '33 and the severe changes that came thereafter?
- Why aren't we told our justice system is based on corporate/commercial law and not on justice?

Because some lawyers (including those calling themselves constitutional 'experts') swear an oath of secrecy and agree to administer the bankruptcy. [19]

[20] A vast number of our so-called elected representatives are lawyers

themselves. Very few lawyers will admit to these facts, many might not even be aware of.

The American people did not and would not have agreed to any of this. They were kept in the dark and today find themselves unwittingly 'contracting' with a completely corrupt corporate franchise system, that doesn't represent their best interests and that they don't even know is in place. Therefore, the CIA has achieved their goal: ". ". everything the American people believe is false."

These corporate bodies and agencies are not our government. They are only posing as government. They do not serve us, but are actually private corporations listed on Dunn and Bradstreet by their all caps corporate names. We owe them no loyalty and it is our duty to expose the fact that they are fraudulently receiving public funds and 'governmental immunity' while they are actively profiting from and harming us all . . . even if many of their employees are as much in the dark as the rest of the population. They are enemies of the American Republic either by ignorance or by will.

We simply must understand that as the corporate UNITED STATES defines U.S. citizens, as dead legal fictions, Cestui Que Vi Trusts, they can only control us by our 'consent'. Be careful what you sign. Retired Judge Dale did an excellent job explaining how the 'system' really works. A MUST READ: <u>Judge says USA INC</u> is just a corporate franchise network

Remember; "Therefore, the U.S. citizens residing in one of the states of the union, are <u>classified as property</u> and franchises of the federal government as an "individual entity" [Cestui Que Vi trust] Wheeling Steel Corp. V Fox 298 U.S. 193 80 L. Ed 1143, 56 S. Ct. 773 [emphasis added]

#### References and Links and foot notes

- [1] Democratic-Federal Franchise; https://anticorruptionsociety.com/2010/03/26/democratic-federal-franchise/
- [2] The Act of 1871: The United States is a corporation <a href="http://www.federaljack.com/slavery-by-consent-the-united-states-corporation/">http://www.federaljack.com/slavery-by-consent-the-united-states-corporation/</a>
- [3] "27 CFR 72.11" U.S. Inc. defines all crime as commercial as a result of the fall of the republic when the South walked out of congress in 1861 and the de jure congress, unable to raise a quorum, was replaced by Lincoln with the de facto corporate Congress; and the de jure district court of the United States was replaced by the de facto corporate UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx\_98/27cfr72\_98.html)
- [4] "28 USC 3002" (definition of the United States as a Federal corporation never taught in civics class; go to paragraph 15)

  (http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/uscode28/usc\_sec\_28\_00003002—-000-.html)
- (15) "United States" means- (A) a Federal corporation;
- [5] "Lewis v. United States 680" (Federal Reserve Bank is privately owned: "...we conclude that the Reserve Banks are not federal instrumentalities for purposes of the FTCA (Federal Tort Claims Act), but are independent, privately owned and locally controlled corporations." Lewis v United States, 680 F.2d 1239 (9th Cir. 1982). In other words, the Fed enjoys no United States immunity from law suit because it is a Federal institution in name only.

(<a href="http://nesara.org/court\_summaries/lewis\_v\_united\_states.htm">http://nesara.org/court\_summaries/lewis\_v\_united\_states.htm</a> and <a href="http://www.geocities.com/chrisforliberty/lewis.html">http://www.geocities.com/chrisforliberty/lewis.html</a>)

[6] – "Grace Commission" (Confirmed that virtually ALL taxes actually go to the Federal Reserve Bank to pay interest on the U.S. debt to the banking families that own the International Monetary Fund (IMF): "With two-thirds of everyone's personal income taxes wasted or not collected, 100 percent of what is collected is absorbed solely by interest on the Federal debt and by Federal Government contributions to transfer payments. In other words, all individual income tax revenues are gone before one nickel is spent on the services which taxpayers expect from their Government." J. Peter Grace, Cover letter, President's Private Sector Report on Cost Control, January 12, 1984. Peter Grace was considered the Warren Buffet of his time, and the Grace Commission Report received widespread media attention as the gospel of Reagan's so-called tax system overhaul.) (http://www.freecanadian.net/articles/grace.html or http://www.uhuh.com/taxstuff/gracecom.htm)

[7] Independent Treasury Act, 41 Stat. at L. 631, CHAP. 214

<a href="http://www.mindserpent.com/American\_History/federal/acts/41\_stat\_631.html">http://www.mindserpent.com/American\_History/federal/acts/41\_stat\_631.html</a>

[8] "Congressman Louis McFadden speech" (indictment of the Secretary of the Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board of Governor's for treason by the chairman of the House Banking and Currency committee in 1934. In scathing speeches to Congress, McFadden said: "(The Fed) has impoverished and ruined the people of these United States, has bankrupted itself, and has practically bankrupted our Government." This most knowledgeable man on banking also explained in vivid detail the method for recruiting the Federal Reserve to pay our debts as holder of the gold, and which is at the heart of today's "tax remedies.")

(<a href="http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/7006/mcfadden-frb.html">http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/Senate/3616/flaherty10.html</a> and <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Louis\_T.\_McFadden">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Louis\_T.\_McFadden</a>)

- [9] Who is Running America; <a href="http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html">http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html</a>
- [10] "Senate Report 93-549" (The United States has been under dictatorial control since March 9, 1933. Report of the Special Committee on the Termination of the National Emergency, Senate Report 93-549, War and Emergency Powers Acts, November 19, 1973. "Foreward: Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency... These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extraordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal Constitutional processes. Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens.") (

http://www.scratchinpost.net/barefootbob/war\_ep1.html)

[11] "Executive Order 6102": Government's confiscation of gold and wealth under threat of 10 years in prison for failure to comply. As the Order specifies U.S. "persons" (eg. JOHN SMITH and JANE DOE), law enforcement was duped into enforcing against the general public a command that only applied to Federal employees and members of the armed forces.)

(<a href="http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/index.php?pid=14611">http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/index.php?pid=14611</a> or <a href="http://www.the-privateer.com/1933-gold-confiscation.html">http://www.the-privateer.com/1933-gold-confiscation.html</a>)

[12] "HJR 192" (outlawing of the simple act of "paying with money" as a felony by substituting the lawyer's parlor trick of "discharging" debts)

(http://www.truthsetsusfree.com/HJR192.htm or

http://www.nomoredebt.cc/hjr192.html

- [13] James Trafficant (D-OH) speech on floor of Congress of March, 1993 exposing the bankruptcy; <a href="http://www.afn.org/~govern/bankruptcy.html">http://www.afn.org/~govern/bankruptcy.html</a>
- [14] "U.S. v. Spelar, 338 U.S. 217 at 222." (U.S. regulations apply only within the U.S. territories and the District of Columbia. "There is a canon of legislative construction which teaches Congress that, unless a contrary intent appears [legislation] is meant to apply only within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States.")
- [15] The United States Congress actually gave 'approval' to the Dept of Defense (and their private corporate contractors) to wage biological warfare on all of us!

The Secretary of Defense [may] conduct tests and experiments involving the use of chemical and biological [warfare] agents on civilian populations [within the United States]." -SOURCE- Public Law 95-79, Title VIII, Sec. 808, July 30, 1977, 91 Stat. 334. In U.S. Statutes-at-Large, Vol. 91, page 334, you will find Public Law 95-79. Public Law 97-375, title II, Sec. 203(a)(1), Dec. 21, 1982, 96 Stat. 1882. In U.S. Statutes-at-Large, Vol. 96, page 1882, you will find Public Law 97-375

[16] Executive Order 12803; <a href="http://www.waterindustry.org/12803.htm">http://www.waterindustry.org/12803.htm</a>

[17] Executive Order 13132:

https://anticorruptionsociety.files.wordpress.com/2014/11/executive-order-13132-federalism.pdf

[18] We are the Enemies of the State;

https://anticorruptionsociety.com/2011/02/25/we-are-the-enemies-of-the-state/

[19] Who is Running America; <a href="http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html">http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html</a>

[20] The Bankruptcy of America – 1933 by Judge Dale:

https://anticorruptionsociety.com/the-bankruptcy-of-america-1933/

The Plaintiff(s) mean no disrespect nor intend it. But, after 4 years of research into law, jurisdiction, artificial entities, public policy, versus actual common law, statutes, and codes which are dependant upon status and contract to obtain jurisdiction and "Governmental corporations" as opposed to an actual Government, under the Constitution for the united States of America, a republic, Plaintiff(s) find it extremely difficult to correctly invoke jurisdiction when the courts fail to disclose (admit) the true nature of their jurisdiction, whether they are private corporation courts dealing in (private) statutes and codes, whether they are Article I military courts in Admiralty, or Article III courts under the Constitution for the united States of America, a Republic.

Plaintiff(s) entering the courts have a right to know what that entity actually is and what jurisdiction it is seated in. To proceed without disclosure may be fraud by concealment, especially if the enquiry is met with silence or evasion.

The Plaintiff(s)s respectfully submit these cites for consideration. No disrespect is intended. Plaintiff(s) request the court to disclose the true nature and jurisdiction

of the courts raised by these supplemental citations. And to inform the Plaintiff(s) so they may properly proceed.

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy hereof has been furnished by electronic mail to the following parties on this \_\_\_\_5\_\_ day of July 2017.

Respondent, John Power by and through Robert C Swain Esq. Office of the County Attorney, 12 S.E. 1<sup>st</sup> St. Gainesville, Florida, 32601.

Without prejudice UCC1-308, UCC1-103

Walter Pdenkins

Walter P. Jenkins, c/o PoBox 673 Anna Maria,

Florida, RFD [34216]

Petitoner DeVaughn Slone, c/o Po Box 857 Anna

Delagh Stone

Maria, Florida, RFD [34216]

I hereby certify that the fonts herein, Times New Roman 14 point, comply with Rule 9.210(a)(2) Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure

Walter P. Jenkins, a natural living man

Walter P Lenlains