

4133 Papu Circle  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96816  
April 28, 2010

Steven Hunter, Esq.  
Miami, Florida

Steve,

*I want to confirm in writing what I will tell you in person. You have been my attorney for ten years and I have testified for you and the other attorneys in several flight attendant trials. I have spoken to you extensively about the flight attendant litigation and about Flight Attendant Medical Research Institute (FAMRI). I spoke to you freely since we had a close attorney/client relationship. I told you how frustrated I was with the very few cases that have gone to trial.*

*You asked me to request funds from FAMRI to cover costs and cost judgments in the flight attendant cases and we discussed those issues. It was indicated that we could not authorize such expenditures, unrelated to scientific research by FAMRI, a not for profit foundation. The first I heard about your contemplating an action against FAMRI was when I learned from Stanley and Susan about your proposed petition against FAMRI. It was like a member of my family turned against me.*

*As Trustees, Patty and I have been accused of not following our mission and not helping or benefiting flight attendants through funded research. This is simply not true. However, even if it were, you, who represents me, and the other counsel cannot sue FAMRI when your client—me—is harmed by your actions. All other flight attendants are also harmed if FAMRI is harmed, and I strongly oppose what you are doing.*

*We want compensation from tobacco companies that caused our diseases and medical conditions, not from FAMRI. I certainly do not want you or any of your group of Gerson, Alvarez, Paige and Trop to bring any claims against FAMRI or sue FAMRI for any reason.*

Lani Blissard




Exhibit B  
Blissard 483

Exhibit "A1"

RECEIVED, 05/01/2017 03:13:26 PM, Clerk, Supreme Court